

Murugavel Raju,  
 MCU Strategic Marketing,  
 Texas Instruments

## Energy Harvesting

### ULP meets energy harvesting: A game-changing combination for design engineers

#### Executive summary

Macro-scale energy harvesting technologies in the form of windmills, watermills and passive solar power systems have been around for centuries. Now, as designers seek to cut the cords, they turn to micro-energy harvesting systems that can scavenge milliwatts from solar, vibrational, thermal and biological sources. However, understanding ultra-low power from the sourcing side brings challenges as harvested power derived from ambient sources tends to be unregulated, intermittent and small.

Energy harvesting has been around for centuries in the form of windmills, watermills and passive solar power systems. In recent decades, technologies such as wind turbines, hydro-electric generators and solar panels have turned harvesting into a small but growing contributor to the world's energy needs. This technology offers two significant advantages over battery-powered solutions: virtually inexhaustible sources and little or no adverse environmental effects.

Macro-scale harvesting technologies differ in many ways but have one thing in common: they “feed the grid,” typically adding kilowatts or megawatts to the power distribution system. As such, they are not game changers for electronic designers whose mission in life is to snip the wires – including power cords and even battery-powered systems where the perpetual device is the ultimate design goal.

For this second goal, micro-energy harvesting systems are the answer. Energy harvesting's new frontier is an array of micro-scale technologies that scavenge milliwatts from solar, vibrational, thermal and biological sources. A few years ago, micro-harvesting could have been called a scientific curiosity. But the design community's long march to ultra-low-power (ULP) technology has had the unexpected result of pushing micro-scale energy harvesting out of the lab and onto the designer's bench.

Now, designers are sizing up ULP not just from the consumption side but from the production perspective as well. Understanding ULP from the sourcing side will be every bit as challenging as it was from the consumption side not that many years ago. Primary reason: harvested power is derived from ambient sources so it tends to be unregulated, intermittent and small.

Figure 1: Macro v. micro energy harvesting comparison

Macro vs. Micro	Energy Source	Solutions	Ultimate Goal
Macro	Renewable energy (e.g., solar, wind)	Energy management solutions	Reduce oil dependency
Micro	Energy from the environment (e.g., vibration, body heat)	Ultra-low-power solutions	Perpetual devices

#### ULP sets targets

While there is no widely accepted definition of ULP, it's helpful to consider it in the context of batteries because they are easily the most common energy source for ULP designs today.

Medical applications in which electronic devices are implanted in or attached to the body are good examples of ULP designs that run on batteries. Here are three examples.

- **Implanted medical device.** Size and battery life are primary considerations. Power dissipation of 10  $\mu\text{W}$  and battery life of 15,000 hours would be typical.
- **In-ear device.** Size becomes more important than life, which indicates button cell. Typical power dissipation of 1 mW and 1,500-hour life.
- **Surface-of-skin device.** Limiting factor is the ability of skin surface to dissipate heat. Typical power dissipation of 10 mW and 150-hour life.

Medical applications that consume milliwatts set the energy-production bar for harvesting devices. The good news is that milliwatts are the same order of magnitude that micro-harvesters can generate.

Some non-medical products already use micro-harvesting sources. These include calculators, watches, radios and Bluetooth® headsets. There are also applications that consume on the order of milliwatts as well, but have not yet been adapted to micro-harvesting. The most promising application is being remote sensors.

## Harvester technologies

The most promising micro-harvesting technologies extract energy from vibration, temperature differentials and light. A fourth possibility – scavenging energy from RF emissions – is interesting, but the energy availability is at least an order of magnitude less than that of the first three. Estimates vary, but Figure 2 shows the approximate amount of energy per unit available from four micro-harvesting sources.

**Figure 2. Energy harvesting estimates**

Energy Source	Harvested Power
<b>Vibration/Motion</b>	
Human	4 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$
Industry	100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$
<b>Temperature Difference</b>	
Human	25 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$
Industry	1–10 mW/cm <sup>2</sup>
<b>Light</b>	
Indoor	10 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$
Outdoor	10 mW/cm <sup>2</sup>
<b>RF</b>	
GSM	0.1 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$
WiFi	0.001 mW/cm <sup>2</sup>

Micro-harvesting provides power at the same order of magnitude that carefully designed ULP circuits typically consume. The three most promising technologies – based on light, motion and thermal scavenging – have different characteristics.

- Large solar panels have made photovoltaic harvesting a well characterized technology. Approximately 1 mW of average power can be harvested from each 100-mm<sup>2</sup> photovoltaic cell. Typical efficiency is roughly 10 percent and the capacity factor of photovoltaic sources (the ratio of average power produced to power that would be produced if the sun was always shining) is about 15 to 20 percent.
- Commercially available kinetic energy systems also produce power in the milliwatt range. Energy is most likely to be generated by an oscillating mass (vibration). But electrostatic energy harvested by piezoelectric

cells or flexible elastomers is also classified as kinetic energy. Vibrational energy is available from structures such as bridges and in many industrial and automotive scenarios. Basic kinetic harvester technologies include: (1) a mass on a spring; (2) devices that convert linear to rotary motion; and (3) piezoelectric cells. An advantage of (1) and (2) is that voltage is not determined by the source itself but by the conversion design. Electrostatic conversion produces voltages as high as 1,000 V or more.

- Thermoelectric harvesters exploit the Seebeck effect, which states that voltage is created in the presence of a temperature difference between two different metals or semiconductors. A thermoelectric generator (TEG) consists of thermopiles connected thermally in parallel and electrically in series. The latest TEGs are characterized by an output voltage of 0.7 V at matched load, which is a familiar voltage for engineers designing ultra-low-power applications. Generated power depends on the size of the TEG, the ambient temperature, and (in the case of harvesting heat energy from humans), the level of metabolic activity.

According to the Belgian-based research corporation IMEC, at 22°C a wrist-watch type TEG delivers useful power of 0.2 to 0.3 mW on average for normal activity. Typically, a TEG continuously charges a battery or super-capacitor and requires advanced power management to optimize efficiency.

Despite their differences, photovoltaic, motion and thermal harvesting also have a few things in common: They generate erratic voltages instead of the steady 3.3 V or 1.8 V design engineers sometimes take for granted; they also provide intermittent power and sometimes no power at all.

Conversion technology is only part of the solution. Since micro-harvesters tend to generate intermittent power, the most common system architectures are called hybrids because they include energy storage in thin-film batteries.

A typical energy-harvesting system includes conversion, temporary storage and a heavy dose of sophisticated power management circuits, analog converters and ULP MCUs. To take ULP to the next level, it is highly desirable to integrate as many of these circuits as possible on a single chip.

### **Best practices**

Energy harvesting doesn't exactly rewrite the rules of realizing the best power efficiency in circuit design, but some of its best practices may be counter-intuitive to many engineers.

The key design goal is to match the power circuits to the application circuits for the best overall performance. Applications can then be developed knowing that technology will support that product.

Several techniques that solve the unfamiliar power management problems that micro-harvesters present include:

- Optimizing switched power supplies because they can boost very small source voltages. By chopping the input signal, switchers allow designers to control its magnitude and frequency. Switching topologies also dissipate very little power but introduce unwanted frequencies that must be normalized.
- Since tasks such as charging the gate capacitance of a MOSFET could consume a large percentage of the harvested energy, a current-source gate charge rather than a voltage-source gate charge will often make sense.

- Another technique is to use more than one power converter circuit. The first circuit could be unregulated but capable of charging a capacitor. Once sufficient energy is stored in the capacitor, it can be discharged and the signal conditioned by a more sophisticated power converter circuit.

### **Silicon implications**

What are the implications of micro-harvesting for ICs? The most common system component would be an MCU. Because MCUs have more to do than just be stingy with energy, other criteria have to weigh in, including: wake up time (which also has an impact on energy consumption), operating voltage, frequency and code density. Texas Instruments platform of MSP430 microcontrollers offer an excellent example of a controller well-suited to energy harvesting applications. The MCU portfolio's typical specifications outlined below make it well suited for ULP applications.

- Low standby current
- Low active current
- Efficient 16-bit architecture with industry's highest code density
- Integrated low power ADC for precision measurements
- Low operating voltage
- Low pin leakage

The most recent generation of MSP430 MCUs, the MSP430F5xx generation, allows designers to tap into peak execution performance of up to 25 MHz while consuming as low as 160  $\mu\text{A}/\text{MHz}$  (microamp per Megahertz).

A system powered by a small battery – or micro-energy harvesting device – will need to communicate information to another system or to a central collection point. Supplying power to a network of sensor-transmitters has traditionally required expensive wiring installation or routine battery changes. Gathering data from difficult or dangerous-to-reach locations using wired sensors may be impossible and or even compromise the safety of personnel installing wiring and replacing batteries.

Low power, wireless communication for energy-harvesting applications is the clear alternative. Low-power RF transceivers are designed to operate in the ISM bands (315, 433, 868 and 915 MHz) but can be easily programmed for frequencies in the 300–348 MHz, 387–464 MHz and 779–928 MHz bands. These transceivers also offer leading noise immunity, selectivity and blocking to ensure reliable communications even in noisy environments.

With TI's CC430 platform, the combination of the power-efficient, highly functional MSP430F5xx MCU and a TI low-power RF transceiver on a single chip offers a unique low-power/performance mix and high integration to help break down barriers to RF implementation such as stringent power, performance, size and cost requirements helping bring wireless connectivity to new applications.

### **Integration adds value**

From an IC architecture perspective, integration is almost always a good thing. With ULP designs, the benefits are particularly helpful. Of the five important components of a harvesting system – conversion, temporary storage, power management circuits, analog converters and ULP MCUs – the last four are the most likely first step toward high integration.

In fact, TI's MSP430 MCU family already integrates many high-performance analog and controller functions needed for energy-harvesting applications. The addition of power management and the radio circuits are all that is required – and that formula is exactly what TI's new CC430 platform will deliver.

The full MSP430 MCU peripheral set will be available on the CC430 devices including intelligent, high-performance digital and analog peripherals like a 12-bit ADC, and comparators that provide high performance even during RF transmissions and consume no power when not in operation. These peripherals also speed design by integrating functions such as an integrated Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) accelerator that encrypts and decrypts data sent wirelessly for more secure alarm and industrial monitoring systems.

With a 50 percent reduction in printed circuit board (PCB) space, CC430-based devices help enable smaller devices in a wide variety of wireless applications. Examples may include smart hospital tracking that can communicate patient or medical equipment information to a central location or personal area networking between sports watches, pedometers, chest-strap heart-rate monitors and PC-based health and fitness analysis programs. Smaller board space and reduced complexity also help shrink the size of heat-cost allocators and AMI smart metering systems, which are expected to make up 28 percent of all electric meters by 2013.

In addition to delivering low-power advantages, integration also reduces package size and cost which is a high priority for small sensor applications that might be deployed, for example, in a mesh network or some other “network of things” – for example, innovative RF sensor networks that report data to a central collection to analyze and regulate information such as smoke in the atmosphere to detect forest fires, moisture or pesticide information in crop fields or even humidity levels in a winery.

According to the ARC Advisory Group, the worldwide market for industrial applications of wireless technology will grow at a 32 percent compound annual growth rate (CAGR) over the next five years, and is projected to exceed \$1 billion in 2010.

A major stumbling block to date in deploying wireless technology in industrial settings has always been powering the radio and the application circuits. Both installation and periodic maintenance have been identified as being problematic. If line power has to be extended to the application, the value of wireless communication is reduced. If batteries are used, they have to be replaced from time to time.

The combination of ULP and micro-harvesting is likely to be the most cost-sensitive, sensible approach to addressing these challenges; the CC430 technology platform is an ideal solution to provide the micro-harvesting and ULP needed for these applications. Sensing applications are limitless, power supplies are not; solutions like the CC430 platform that combine low power and high functionality with the know-how to take the mystery out of RF design help bridge this gap to help usher in a new age of energy solutions.

Important Notice: The products and services of Texas Instruments Incorporated and its subsidiaries described herein are sold subject to TI's standard terms and conditions of sale. Customers are advised to obtain the most current and complete information about TI products and services before placing orders. TI assumes no liability for applications assistance, customer's applications or product designs, software performance, or infringement of patents. The publication of information regarding any other company's products or services does not constitute TI's approval, warranty or endorsement thereof.

All trademarks are the property of their respective owners.