Disclaimer: This document was part of the First European DSP Education and Research Conference. It may have been written by someone whose native language is not English. TI assumes no liability for the quality of writing and/or the accuracy of the information contained herein.

Implementing an Adaptive Noise Cancelling System to Enhance Sonar Receiver Performance Using the TMS320C31 DSP

Authors: E. Verriest, Isen

ESIEE, Paris September 1996 SPRA337



IMPORTANT NOTICE

Texas Instruments (TI) reserves the right to make changes to its products or to discontinue any semiconductor product or service without notice, and advises its customers to obtain the latest version of relevant information to verify, before placing orders, that the information being relied on is current.

TI warrants performance of its semiconductor products and related software to the specifications applicable at the time of sale in accordance with TI's standard warranty. Testing and other quality control techniques are utilized to the extent TI deems necessary to support this warranty. Specific testing of all parameters of each device is not necessarily performed, except those mandated by government requirements.

Certain application using semiconductor products may involve potential risks of death, personal injury, or severe property or environmental damage ("Critical Applications").

TI SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS ARE NOT DESIGNED, INTENDED, AUTHORIZED, OR WARRANTED TO BE SUITABLE FOR USE IN LIFE-SUPPORT APPLICATIONS, DEVICES OR SYSTEMS OR OTHER CRITICAL APPLICATIONS.

Inclusion of TI products in such applications is understood to be fully at the risk of the customer. Use of TI products in such applications requires the written approval of an appropriate TI officer. Questions concerning potential risk applications should be directed to TI through a local SC sales office.

In order to minimize risks associated with the customer's applications, adequate design and operating safeguards should be provided by the customer to minimize inherent or procedural hazards.

TI assumes no liability for applications assistance, customer product design, software performance, or infringement of patents or services described herein. Nor does TI warrant or represent that any license, either express or implied, is granted under any patent right, copyright, mask work right, or other intellectual property right of TI covering or relating to any combination, machine, or process in which such semiconductor products or services might be or are used.

Copyright © 1997, Texas Instruments Incorporated

TRADEMARKS

TI is a trademark of Texas Instruments Incorporated.

Other brands and names are the property of their respective owners.

CONTACT INFORMATION

US TMS320 HOTLINE	(281) 274-2320
US TMS320 FAX	(281) 274-2324
US TMS320 BBS	(281) 274-2323
US TMS320 email	dsph@ti.com

Abstract	7	
Product Support on the World Wide Web		
ntroduction	9	
Adaptive Noise Cancelling	9	
Algorithm Selection		
The LMS Algorithm		
The "Spectrofiltre" Algorithm.		
DSP Implementation		
Real Time Validation		
Conclusion		
References		

Contents

Figures

Figure 1. The Adaptive Noise Cancelling Concept	9
Figure 2. Program Execution Flow Diagram	15
Figure 3. Power Spectrum of Hydrophone Output	16
Figure 4. Coherence Between Primary/Reference Input	17
Figure 5. Results of ANC on the Primary Input Power Spectrum (Method of	
Spectrofiltre)	18
Figure 6. Coherence Between Primary Input and Reference Input After/Before Noise	
Cancellation	19
Figure 7. Cancellation of Frequency Components of the Input Signal	20

Implementing an Adaptive Noise Cancelling System to Enhance Sonar Receiver Performance Using the TMS320C31 DSP

Abstract

The performance of sonar receivers on board a ship are degraded by mechanical noise. In order to improve the detection performance of the acoustic sensors (hydrophones), we have designed a local mechanical noise cancelling system using the Adaptive Noise Cancelling (ANC) approach with a single noise reference [1]. The implementation was realized and validated on underwater acoustic signals with a PC-based Texas Instruments (TI[™]) TMS320C31 floating-point digital signal processor (DSP) system.

This document was part of the first European DSP Education and Research Conference that took place September 26 and 27, 1996 in Paris. For information on how TI encourages students from around the world to find innovative ways to use DSPs, see TI's World Wide Web site at www.ti.com.

Product Support on the World Wide Web

ţi)

Our World Wide Web site at www.ti.com contains the most up to date product information, revisions, and additions. Users registering with TI&ME can build custom information pages and receive new product updates automatically via email.



Introduction

In our work, we consider different adaptive methods of filter identification [2] in order to estimate the signal from a noisy hydrophone output. In a first approach, we have carried out simulations to calculate the performance of each ANC method and have demonstrated the advantage of frequential methods for mechanical noise cancellation. Taking the numerical stability, rate of convergence and simplicity as selection criteria, we have selected the LMS (Least Mean Square, time method) and the "Spectrofiltre" (frequential method) for our application.

Adaptive Noise Cancelling

We assume in this paper that we are processing real, discretetime, statistically stationary signals with zero mean. Noise cancellation can be viewed as a problem of adaptive filter identification





A signal s is transmitted over a channel to a sensor that also receives a noise b uncorrelated with the signal. The combined signal and noise s+b form the primary input y to the canceller. A second sensor receives a noise r uncorrelated with the signal but correlated in some unknown way with the noise b. We can also find a filter W generating b from r. The classical ANC methods estimate this filter in the class of linear filters. Two approaches are possible: a time and a frequential approach. In order to estimate the filter W, a FIR transversal filter structure is chosen because it leads to a real-time adaptive implementation of the signals [3]. In the time domain, the adaptive filter is defined by its N tap weights. In the frequency domain, it is defined by the N weights of its complex gain. The estimation criterion is the minimization of the system output power $E[le(k)l^2]$ (in an ANC system, the system) output serves as the error signal for the adaptive process [1]. Besides, this criterion leads to simple calculations [2] and minimizing the system output power minimizes the Mean-Squared Error (MSE) $E[le(k)-s(k)l^2]$ and maximizes the output signal-tonoise ratio under the fundamental assumption that the reference input is not correlated with the signal.

The optimal solution in the time domain for a N tap weights transversal filter is given by the discrete Wiener-Hopf equation [5]:

$$F_{opt[N]} = \left[\Gamma_r\right]^{-1} \bullet \underline{p}_{yr} \tag{1}$$

where Γ_r is the (N,N) correlation matrix of the reference input, and \underline{p}_{yr} is the (N, 1) cross-correlation vector between the tapreference input and the primary input. In the frequency domain, the optimal solution is given by:

$$F_{opt}(v) = (\gamma_{yr}(v)) / (\gamma_{rr}(v))$$
(2)

where γ_{yr} (v) is the Fourier transform of the intercorrelation function between the primary input and the reference input, and γ_{rr} (v) is the Fourier transform of the reference input autocorrelation function. These solutions require a *priori* knowledge about the second order input signal statistics. Since we do not have this information, we use deterministic estimation criteria instead of statistic ones.

In the time domain, we use the following estimation criterion

$$C(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda^{k-j} \bullet e(j)^{2}$$
(3)

10 Implementing an Adaptive Noise Cancelling System to Enhance Sonar Receiver Performance Using the TMS320C31 DSP where λ is an exponential weighting factor. We have chosen an exponential window because the signals we have to process are quasi stationary. Besides, this leads to reductions in the computation of the noise-cancelling algorithms [6]. We obtain the corresponding deterministic solution by differentiating C(k) with respect to the N-tap weights transversal filter [2]:

$$\hat{F}_{opt[N]}^{k} = \left[\hat{\Gamma}_{r}(k)\right]^{-1} \bullet \underline{\hat{p}}_{yr}(k)$$
(4)

where:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{r}(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda^{k-j} \bullet \underline{r}_{j[N]} \bullet \underline{r}^{T}_{j[N]}$$
(5)

$$\underline{\hat{p}}_{yr}(k) = \sum^{k} \lambda^{k-j} \bullet y(j) \bullet \underline{r}_{j[N]}$$
(6)

In the frequency domain, (2) requires the estimation of the power spectra of the observed signals. We use the averaged periodogram method to estimate the power spectra with an exponential weighting factor in order to take into account possible slow variations of the input signals statistics

$$\hat{\gamma}_{yr}^{L}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{L} \lambda^{L-j} \bullet y_{j}(v) \bullet r_{j}(v)$$
(7)

$$\hat{\gamma}_{rr}^{L}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{L} \lambda^{L-j} \bullet r_j(v) \bullet r_j(v)$$
(8)

where $r_j(v)$ is the conjugate of the N-sample j-th section DFT of the signal r(k) and L is the number of input sections.

To conclude, the optimal Wiener filter is the solution of the minimization of a statistic quadratic criterion. The deterministic approach is necessary because we have no a *priori* information about the second order statistics of the input signals. However, both approaches are equivalent for ergodic signals [2] The time and the frequency approach lead to equivalent solutions for an infinite order of the transversal filter [2].

Algorithm Selection

In a first approach, we have carried out simulations on several wide-band noises and single tones to calculate the performances of each ANC method and prove the advantage of frequential methods in the processing of mechanical noise. Taking numerical stability, convergence rate and simplicity as selection criteria for the algorithms, we have selected the LMS (Least Mean Square), a suboptimal time method, and the "Spectrofiltre", an optimal frequential method.

The LMS Algorithm.

The MSE can be developed to a second-order function of the estimation filter [5]. Accordingly, we may visualize the dependence of the MSE on the filter weights as a bowl-shaped surface with a unique minimum. We refer to this surface as the error-performance surface of the transversal filter. The requirement is to design the filter so that it operates at the bottom of this surface. At this point, the MSE attains its minimum value, and correspondingly, the adaptive filter attains its optimum value in the mean-squared sense. The LMS algorithm does not require measurement of the correlation functions, nor does it require matrix inversion. It uses the method of steepest descent on the error-performance surface by approximating the gradient vector in real time with available data.

Summary of the LMS Algorithm.

Parameters: N = filter order; $\mu = \text{step-size parameter.}$ Definition : $\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\underline{k}[N]} = [\mathbf{r}(k) \ \mathbf{r}(k-1)... \ \mathbf{r}(k-N+1)]^{\mathsf{T}}$ Initialization : $= \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{0[N]} = \underline{0}$; $\underline{\mathbf{F}}^{0}_{[N]} = \underline{0}$ Computation (k=1,...) $e(k) = y(k) - ([\underline{F}_{[N]}^{k-1}] \bullet \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{k[N]}$ (9) $\underline{F}_{[N]}^{k} = \underline{F}_{[N]}^{k-1} + \mu \bullet e(k) \bullet \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{k[N]}$ (10)

12 Implementing an Adaptive Noise Cancelling System to Enhance Sonar Receiver Performance Using the TMS320C31 DSP

The "Spectrofiltre" Algorithm.

The power spectra of the observed signals are estimated with the averaged periodogram method [8]; the "Overlap-Save-Method" (OSM) is used in order to avoid the effects of circular convolution [7].

Parameters : N = filter order; D = overlapping points; λ = weighting factor.

Initialization : the (N/2+1) complex weights of the adaptive filter and estimated interspectrum are zero; the (N/2+1) real weights of the estimated autospectrum are zero.

Input signals are sectioned according to the OSM method ; the current N-point sections are denoted as $\underline{y}_i(k)$ and $\underline{r}_i(k)$.

Computation (j = 1, ...):

 $\underline{y}_i(k)$ and $\underline{r}_i k$) are transformed by FFT ; we obtain N/ 2+1 complex points $y_i(v)$ and $r_i(v)$.

$$e_j(v) = y_j(v) - F^{j-1}(v)r_j(v)$$
 (11)

 $e_j(v)$ is inverse transformed by IFFT; the first D points are then discarded (OSM). We estimate the interspectrum between the primary and reference input:

$$\hat{\gamma}_{yr}^{j}(v) = \lambda \bullet \hat{y}_{yr}^{j-1}(v) + y_{j}(v) \bullet r_{j}(v)$$
(12)

We estimate the power spectrum density of the reference input:

$$\hat{\gamma}_{rr}^{j}(v) = \lambda \bullet \hat{\gamma}_{rr}^{j-1}(v) + r_{j}(v) \bullet r_{j}(v)$$
(13)

Finally, the complex gain of the adaptive filter is calculated:

$$F^{j}(v) = (\hat{\gamma}_{yr}^{j}(v)) / (\hat{\gamma}_{rr}^{j}(v))$$
(14)

DSP Implementation

We have implemented the LMS and the Spectrofiltre algorithms on a Texas Instruments 32 bit floating-point DSP: the TMS320C31, Excellent real-time results have been attained, well above the minimum required for a signal band of 1-6 KHz (underwater acoustic signals). Hand-written assembler code was necessary in order to optimize the real-time performance of the algorithms. We shall now give some details about the implementation of the OSM for the Spectrofiltre algorithm. We know that the filtering operation, when carried out in the frequency domain, may produce estimation errors because a multiplication of DFTs corresponds to the circular convolution of the time sequences [8]. The OSM is equivalent to implementing a circular convolution and identifying the part that corresponds to a linear convolution. For example, if we consider the circular convolution of the M-point unit-sample response with an N-point section, the first (M-1) points are incorrect while the remaining points are identical to those that would be obtained had we implemented a linear convolution. We also section the input signals y(k), r(k) into sections of length N so that each section overlaps the preceding one by D points. Each section is FFT'd and processed, but we discard the first D points of each output section since this portion is corrupted by the effects of circular convolution. The (N-D) remaining points from successive sections are then abutted to construct the final filtered output. Each succeeding input section consists of (N-D) new points and D points saved from the previous section. In our application, unfiltered signals are input to the 16 bit parallel A/D converter via an Interrupt Service Routine which fills two circular input buffers with fresh data y(k) and r(k). The ISR also outputs the estimated signal (Figure 2).

While the main program processes the current section of N samples (section j), the ISR inputs new data from the section (j+1) and simultaneously outputs the estimated signal corresponding to the section (j-1).

Real Time Validation

The real-time validation of the algorithms was subsequently realized on underwater acoustic signals. The experiment used a thin steel plate positioned at the air-water interface carrying an accelerometer on the air side and the hydrophone directly below it on the water side. The signal to be detected was generated by a submerged transducer and the noise by a vibration exciter on the plate. The sensor signals were processed by our PC-based TI TMS320C31 floating-point DSP system.

14 Implementing an Adaptive Noise Cancelling System to Enhance Sonar Receiver Performance Using the TMS320C31 DSP







We have attained excellent real-time results for the subtraction of noise spectral components and reduction of coherence between the sensor signals, especially with the Spectrofiltre algorithm. The parallel operations instructions group makes a high degree of parallelism possible and allowed a sampling frequency of 32 KHz for this algorithm. The computational requirements for the Spectrofiltre with N=1024 are about 115000 machine cycles for the processing of a 1024 section. We can also use an overlapping rate of 90% with a sampling frequency of 16KHz.

Assembly language programming for the LMS algorithm has produced a computational complexity of 3N+100 machine cycles for the processing of a couple of samples y(k), r(k). This allows us to use a 360 tap-weight adaptive transversal filter with a sampling frequency of 16 KHz.

The submerged hydrophone measures an additive noise corresponding to the plate vibrations ; the power spectrum of the hydrophone output with and without the noise is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Power Spectrum of Hydrophone Output

ĬF

We observe an excellent correlation between the primary and the reference input on the noise, but the coherence function is degraded due to the correlation between the reference input and the signal to be detected (Figure 4):



Figure 4. Coherence Between Primary/Reference Input

(i)





The Spectrofiltre achieves excellent cancellation of the noise spectral components (N=1024, D=512) (see Figure 5).

Further, we have a coherence reduction between the reference input and the estimated signal, which corresponds to an effective cancellation of the noise spectral components [2] (see Figure 6). However, the correlation between the reference input and the signal to be detected induces some distortion of the signal after noise cancellation (Figure 7). We have also shown that it is absolutely necessary for the reference input to be decor-related with the signal to detect, if we want to obtain good noise cancelling performance. The LMS algorithm has not reached the performance of the Spectrofiltre method, which confirms the advantage of frequential ANC methods over time ANC methods.

18 Implementing an Adaptive Noise Cancelling System to Enhance Sonar Receiver Performance Using the TMS320C31 DSP



Hz

Figure 6. Coherence Between Primary Input and Reference Input After/Before Noise Cancellation

20k

Ĭ.



į,

Fig. 7. Cancellation of frequency components of the input signal



20 Implementing an Adaptive Noise Cancelling System to Enhance Sonar Receiver Performance Using the TMS320C31 DSP



Conclusion

To our knowledge, no real-time validation of frequential ANC methods has yet been done. The Spectrofiltre method has proved efficient in floating-point implementation for the reduction of mechanical noise on a sonar receiver. A real-time implementation and validation of the fast RLS algorithms is being conducted.

References

[1] B. Widrow e	t al., "Adaptive	noise	cancelling:	principles and
	applications",	Proc.	Of the IEEE	i, 1975

- [2] C. Servière, "Eléments de comparaison entre différentes méthodes de Soustraction de Bruit", Thèse de Doctorat, 1989
- [3] F. Michaut, "Méthodes adaptatives pour le signal", 1992
- [4] J.F. Guerre-Chaley, "Etude de différentes structures de soustracteurs de bruit adaptatifs multiréférences", Thèse de Doctorat, 1990
- [5] S. Haykin, "Adaptive Filter theory", 1986
- [6] J. Cioffi, T. Kailath, "Windowed Fast Transversal Filters Adaptive Algorithms with Normalization", IEEE Trans. On ASSP, 1985
- [7] C. Servière, "Comparison between two estimation methods of the complex gain of an optimal Wiener filter", Traitement du Signal, 1989
- [8] A. V. Oppenheim, R. W. Schafer, "Digital Signal Processing", 1975