

Sensing Magnetic Fields In-Plane Versus Out-of-Plane



The vast majority of Hall-effect magnetic sensors today—especially if they are single-axis solutions—measure and monitor magnetic fields orthogonally to the surface of the package. As shown in [Figure 1](#), the magnetic field of interest would be directed vertically to the sensor in a surface mount package, and the magnetic field would come from a horizontal (or lateral) direction in a through-hole package. In short, most sensors today are sensitive to magnetic fields that are perpendicular to the die within the package.




Figure 1. Most Common Magnetic Field Directionality for Surface Mount (SOT-23) and Through-Hole (TO-92) Packages (Not to Scale)

Traditional single-axis sensors are sensitive to magnetic fields from a specific direction, therefore there are correct and incorrect ways of detecting magnetic fields. The illustration in top-left corner of [Figure 2](#) shows the SOT-23 package using the traditional method, but there are other ways. The illustration in the top-right corner of [Figure 2](#) shows the magnetic field looping back from one pole to another and crossing the sensor orthogonally to the package as expected. For both of these cases, the magnetic flux has the same polarity as the magnet gets closer to the sensor. The bottom image of [Figure 2](#) uses the same idea as the previous method, but in a different magnet orientation to the sensor. For this implementation to operate as expected, there must be a vertical offset from the magnet to the sensor to ensure proper magnetic field detection from a Z-axis component. Without this offset, the Hall-effect sensor would only experience horizontal magnetic fields which are undetectable by the sensor. The sensor will also experience a positive and negative magnetic flux density as the magnet traverses across the sensor from one side to the other.




Figure 2. Correct magnetic field detection for traditional sensors

To allow more mechanical variability in system design, Texas Instruments offers a portfolio of in-plane Hall sensors that detect magnetic fields horizontally from the side of the package (either X or Y direction) using vertical sensors that are built right into the silicon. [Figure 3](#) shows this new in-plane sensing capability.




Figure 3. In-Plane Hall-Effect Magnetic Sensing Solutions

One of the most important benefits of using Hall magnetic sensors with lateral sensing capabilities (such as the [TMAG5123](#)) is the flexibility of sensor placement, which can save overall system cost and space. This added capability allows designer to place magnets in orientations not possible with standard orthogonal sensing solutions. For example, the designer may need to place a magnet horizontally in relation to the Hall-effect sensor because the distance in the vertical direction must be kept to a minimum. End products such as vacuum cleaner robots, automotive gear shifters, and home appliances can make use of these added benefits. As shown in [Figure 4](#), one solution is to use a Hall-effect sensor in the TO-92 package with standard orthogonal sensing

capability. This is a good solution, but designers may want to use a surface mount package for ease of manufacturing. An alternate solution is to build yet another PCB and position it with a surface mount package (SOT-23) to allow the sensor to detect lateral magnetic fields. This particular implementation shown in [Figure 4](#) increases cost due to the additional PCB, which increases material costs and could potentially increase the size of system enclosure. The optimal solution also shown in [Figure 4](#), however, is the use of an in-plane Hall-effect sensor in the SOT-23 package to reduce the overall space of the package and allow the alignment of the sensor with the magnet.




Figure 4. Various Solutions to Detect Horizontal Magnetic Fields

The capability to sense lateral magnetic fields provides designers yet another option when developing a switch function where other technologies had previously been used. [Table 1](#) provides a comparison summary of various technologies to consider.

Table 1. Technology Comparisons

TECHNOLOGY	ADVANTAGES/DISADVANTAGES
Mechanical switches	Pro: Simple system implementation and can reduce cost in some applications Con: Lower reliability due to mechanical wear and tear; potentially larger solution than with an integrated circuit
xMR devices	Pro: xMR sensors are very sensitive and precise and are often low-power Cons: Can be an expensive solution and availability/options are limited
Reed switches	Pro: A proven, low-power solution Con: Yield loss during board placement due to fragility, which may drive system costs up
TI In-plane Hall-effect	Pro: Good sensitivity and precision at a competitive price point Con: 15% higher power consumption than Z-axis TI products

Alternate Device Recommendations

Depending on the necessary system requirements, there may be optional devices that provide the needed performance and functionality. For applications where lower power is absolutely necessary but the sensing direction is not as important, the [DRV5032](#) offers a good power-cycled solution available in SOT-23 and TO-92 packages.

Another product to consider is the [TMAG5124](#) that offers the capability to have a very reliable communication between the sensor and the MCU. This product produces a low-level (for output Low) and high-level current output (for output High) depending on the state of the switch. If for some reason the MCU does not sense a current from the Hall-effect sensor, a signal fault can be detected if communication is lost or the sensor is no longer functional. Another huge benefit is the reduction in cabling, as only a single wire is needed between the Hall-effect sensor and the MCU provided that the ground can be terminated locally (such as a chassis ground in a vehicle). Yet another benefit to this device is the ability to transmit signals over longer distances due to the inherent capability of a current-based output that produces lower voltage losses. [Table 2](#) shows various Hall-effect sensor options that are alternatives to the [TMAG5123](#).

Table 2. Device Recommendations

DEVICE	OPTIMIZED FEATURE	PERFORMANCE BENEFIT
DRV5032	Low bandwidth, low power	Capable for use in battery-operated systems
DRV5033	2.5-V to 38-V, 30-kHz omnipolar switch	High voltage and high bandwidth
TMAG5124	Current output: 2-mA to 6.9-mA low-level ranges and 12-mA to 17-mA high-level range	Smaller magnets can be used to allow even smaller motor designs

Table 3. Related Documentation and Information

DEVICE	SUMMARY
Training Videos	Comprehensive training videos on Hall-effect sensors
TMAG5123EVM	Socket-based EVM to demonstrate in-plane functionality using the SOT-23 package

IMPORTANT NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

TI PROVIDES TECHNICAL AND RELIABILITY DATA (INCLUDING DATA SHEETS), DESIGN RESOURCES (INCLUDING REFERENCE DESIGNS), APPLICATION OR OTHER DESIGN ADVICE, WEB TOOLS, SAFETY INFORMATION, AND OTHER RESOURCES "AS IS" AND WITH ALL FAULTS, AND DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS AND IMPLIED, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THIRD PARTY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS.

These resources are intended for skilled developers designing with TI products. You are solely responsible for (1) selecting the appropriate TI products for your application, (2) designing, validating and testing your application, and (3) ensuring your application meets applicable standards, and any other safety, security, regulatory or other requirements.

These resources are subject to change without notice. TI grants you permission to use these resources only for development of an application that uses the TI products described in the resource. Other reproduction and display of these resources is prohibited. No license is granted to any other TI intellectual property right or to any third party intellectual property right. TI disclaims responsibility for, and you will fully indemnify TI and its representatives against, any claims, damages, costs, losses, and liabilities arising out of your use of these resources.

TI's products are provided subject to [TI's Terms of Sale](#) or other applicable terms available either on ti.com or provided in conjunction with such TI products. TI's provision of these resources does not expand or otherwise alter TI's applicable warranties or warranty disclaimers for TI products.

TI objects to and rejects any additional or different terms you may have proposed.

Mailing Address: Texas Instruments, Post Office Box 655303, Dallas, Texas 75265
Copyright © 2022, Texas Instruments Incorporated