

AN-1719 Noise Figure Analysis Fully Differential Amplifier

ABSTRACT

This application note provides noise figure analysis for fully differential amplifiers, including output noise calculation and noise figure calculation.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Output Noise Calculation for Fully Differential Amplifiers	3
3	Noise Figure Calculation for Fully Differential Amplifiers	4
4	Conclusion	5

List of Figures

1	Simplified Conceptual Diagram of Fully Differential Amplifier	2
2	Fully Differential Amplifier Noise Model	3
3	Noise Analysis Example for $A_v=1$	4
4	Noise Figure and Voltage Noise Spectral Density vs Gain.....	5

1 Introduction

Fully Differential Feedback Amplifiers (FDA) such as the LMH6550, LMH6551 and the newly released LMH6552 are used to provide balanced, low distortion amplification and level shifting to wide bandwidth, differential signals. A simplified conceptual diagram of an FDA is shown in Figure 1 where two forward paths amplify the two complementary halves of the differential signal. A separate common mode feedback circuit controlled by the V_{CM} control input sets the output common mode voltage independent of the input common mode, as well as forcing the O_N and O_P outputs to be equal in magnitude and opposite in phase.




Figure 1. Simplified Conceptual Diagram of Fully Differential Amplifier

The LMH6552 FDA is a 1.5 GHz device using TI's proprietary, differential Current Feedback (CFB) architecture to allow operation at gains greater than unity with exceptional gain flatness, and without sacrificing bandwidth. With 450 MHz of 0.1 dB unity gain flatness, the device is ideally suited to driving a range of 8- to 14-bit high-speed ADCs, including the Giga Sample 8 bit family and the ADC14DS105, in a variety of wideband Nyquist applications.

In designing an FDA to drive an ADC it is required to ensure that the FDA does not degrade the ADC's signal to noise and distortion (SINAD) performance. A key element of this analysis is determining and optimizing the noise performance of the FDA. The remainder of this article will show how the FDA output noise spectral density and noise figure can be calculated for factoring into the overall system noise analysis. Voltage Feedback (VFB) FDAs have historically been constrained to operating at low gain due to their poor noise performance at higher gains. This article will show that the LMH6552 CFB architecture overcomes this constraint, delivering a noise advantage as well as a gain bandwidth advantage over alternative VFB devices.




Figure 2. Fully Differential Amplifier Noise Model

2 Output Noise Calculation for Fully Differential Amplifiers

The noise model for a general purpose FDA is shown in Figure 2. I_{INP} and I_{INM} are the input referred noise currents for the FDA's positive and negative input terminals respectively, and V_N is its input referred noise voltage. Included in the model are noise sources associated with resistive elements in the feedback and source termination networks.

The total output referred noise density V_{NO} in nV/\sqrt{Hz} , is calculated by taking the root square sum (rss) of the output referred noise from each source in the model. Since we are primarily interested in how noise internal to the FDA influences overall system noise performance, we separate the equation for V_{NO} into two components; the first being due to the input referred noise from the FDA, V_{NOFDA} , and the second due to thermal noise from the resistive feedback network, V_{NOFB} .

$$V_{NO} = \sqrt{V_{NOFDA}^2 + V_{NOFB}^2} \quad (1)$$

In most differential signaling applications CMRR and balance error are key. The differential feedback network is balanced by selecting $R_{F1}=R_{F2}=R_F$ and $R_{G1}=R_{G2}=R_G$, so both positive and negative feedback factors are matched and symmetric. Replacing R_S and R_T with their Thevenin equivalent source resistance, $R_{STH}=R_S \parallel R_T$, the FDA and feedback network output noise densities are:

$$V_{NOFDA}^2 = V_N^2 \left(\frac{R_F + R_{GEQ}}{R_{GEQ}} \right)^2 + I_{INP}^2 R_F^2 + I_{INM}^2 R_F^2 \quad (2)$$

$$V_{NOFB}^2 = 4kT(2R_F) + 4kT(2R_G) \left(\frac{R_F}{R_{GEQ}} \right)^2 + 4kTR_S(R_{STH}) \left(\frac{R_F}{R_{GEQ}} \right)^2$$

$$R_{GEQ} = R_G + \frac{R_S \parallel R_T}{2} \quad (3)$$

The impact of external resistor noise is determined by the differential feedback topology (Equation 2), regardless of whether a CFB or VFB FDA is chosen. However, the influence of the FDA on total output noise density (Equation 1) can largely be influenced by the choice of FDA architecture.

In a VFB FDA, the differential input impedance is very high (usually hundreds of $k\Omega$ to several $M\Omega$), and noise sources internal to the FDA will tend to refer to the input as voltages. Consequently, input referred noise currents will be quite small, on the order of a few pA/\sqrt{Hz} , and will only contribute a significant portion of the total output noise when R_F is large, which is usually not the case. Note that the gain term for V_N in Equation 1 is simply the reciprocal of the equivalent feedback factor β_{EQ} which is related to the differential amplifier's closed loop gain G by:

$$G = \frac{R_F}{R_{GEQ}} = \frac{1}{\beta_{EQ}} - 1. \tag{4}$$

Neglecting I_{NP} and I_{NM} , Equation 1 can be re-written as:

$$\overline{V_{NOFDA}}^2 \cong \overline{V_N}^2 (G + 1)^2 \tag{5}$$

In other words, operating a VFB FDA at high values of gain is necessarily accompanied by a proportional increase in output noise density, and can lead to degradation of overall noise performance when the FDA is a significant source of noise in a system.

A very different result arises when a CFB FDA, such as the LMH6552, is considered. Here, the differential input stage is essentially a current controlled current source, with ideally zero differential input impedance. As a consequence noise sources internal to the amplifier tend to refer to the inputs as currents, rather than as voltages, and the total FDA output noise will be dominated by the sum of input noise currents I_{NP} and I_{NM} multiplied by the feedback resistor squared.

$$\overline{V_{NOFDA}}^2 \cong \left(\overline{I_{NP}}^2 + \overline{I_{NM}}^2 \right) R_F^2 \tag{6}$$

Unlike a VFB FDA, the output noise of a CFB FDA depends on the value of R_F rather than on the amplifier’s gain. Hence, increasing the gain by reducing R_G does not appreciably degrade the noise performance of the circuit. This is an extremely important result and highlights one of the key advantages of using a CFB FDA over a VFB FDA in differential signaling applications.

3 Noise Figure Calculation for Fully Differential Amplifiers

In many high-speed systems, noise performance is often described in terms of the system noise figure, which is 10 log of the signal-to-noise ratio at the system’s input divided by the signal to noise ratio at its output. Noise figure is a quantitative measure of how much noise is added to a given signal as it propagates through a processing chain, and for amplifiers can be conveniently expressed as the ratio of output noise density to source noise density by the following equation.

$$NF = 10 \log \left(\frac{\overline{V_{NO}}^2}{4kTR_S G^2 D_T^2} \right); \quad D_T = \frac{R_T}{R_S + R_T} \tag{7}$$

The product GD_T is the voltage gain of the amplifier from V_S to V_O including the signal attenuation of the input termination network.




Figure 3. Noise Analysis Example for $A_v=1$




Figure 4. Noise Figure and Voltage Noise Spectral Density vs Gain

To highlight the difference in noise performance between CFB and VFB FDAs, the application circuit of [Figure 3](#) is used to calculate the output noise spectral density and noise figure at various values of gain using both the LMH6552 (CFB) and LMH6550 (VFB) FDAs in a 100Ω system. R_F is held at 301Ω and the termination resistor R_T is adjusted at each value of gain to maintain a 100Ω differentially terminated input. The results are presented graphically in [Figure 4](#).

At low gain, the two parts offer similar noise performance, with the LMH6552 having a roughly 2 dB edge in noise figure at a gain of -6 dB. However, as gain is increased, the CFB FDA's noise figure improves at a substantially higher rate, giving a 6.5 dB improvement at a gain of 9.5 dB.

4 Conclusion

The choice between a current or voltage mode FDA may depend on many factors and will ultimately come down to which amplifier works better within a given system specification. Where low-noise, wide-bandwidth applications require the FDA to be configured for larger than unity gain CFB FDAs can offer an elegant solution.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Texas Instruments Incorporated and its subsidiaries (TI) reserve the right to make corrections, enhancements, improvements and other changes to its semiconductor products and services per JESD46, latest issue, and to discontinue any product or service per JESD48, latest issue. Buyers should obtain the latest relevant information before placing orders and should verify that such information is current and complete. All semiconductor products (also referred to herein as "components") are sold subject to TI's terms and conditions of sale supplied at the time of order acknowledgment.

TI warrants performance of its components to the specifications applicable at the time of sale, in accordance with the warranty in TI's terms and conditions of sale of semiconductor products. Testing and other quality control techniques are used to the extent TI deems necessary to support this warranty. Except where mandated by applicable law, testing of all parameters of each component is not necessarily performed.

TI assumes no liability for applications assistance or the design of Buyers' products. Buyers are responsible for their products and applications using TI components. To minimize the risks associated with Buyers' products and applications, Buyers should provide adequate design and operating safeguards.

TI does not warrant or represent that any license, either express or implied, is granted under any patent right, copyright, mask work right, or other intellectual property right relating to any combination, machine, or process in which TI components or services are used. Information published by TI regarding third-party products or services does not constitute a license to use such products or services or a warranty or endorsement thereof. Use of such information may require a license from a third party under the patents or other intellectual property of the third party, or a license from TI under the patents or other intellectual property of TI.

Reproduction of significant portions of TI information in TI data books or data sheets is permissible only if reproduction is without alteration and is accompanied by all associated warranties, conditions, limitations, and notices. TI is not responsible or liable for such altered documentation. Information of third parties may be subject to additional restrictions.

Resale of TI components or services with statements different from or beyond the parameters stated by TI for that component or service voids all express and any implied warranties for the associated TI component or service and is an unfair and deceptive business practice. TI is not responsible or liable for any such statements.

Buyer acknowledges and agrees that it is solely responsible for compliance with all legal, regulatory and safety-related requirements concerning its products, and any use of TI components in its applications, notwithstanding any applications-related information or support that may be provided by TI. Buyer represents and agrees that it has all the necessary expertise to create and implement safeguards which anticipate dangerous consequences of failures, monitor failures and their consequences, lessen the likelihood of failures that might cause harm and take appropriate remedial actions. Buyer will fully indemnify TI and its representatives against any damages arising out of the use of any TI components in safety-critical applications.

In some cases, TI components may be promoted specifically to facilitate safety-related applications. With such components, TI's goal is to help enable customers to design and create their own end-product solutions that meet applicable functional safety standards and requirements. Nonetheless, such components are subject to these terms.

No TI components are authorized for use in FDA Class III (or similar life-critical medical equipment) unless authorized officers of the parties have executed a special agreement specifically governing such use.

Only those TI components which TI has specifically designated as military grade or "enhanced plastic" are designed and intended for use in military/aerospace applications or environments. Buyer acknowledges and agrees that any military or aerospace use of TI components which have **not** been so designated is solely at the Buyer's risk, and that Buyer is solely responsible for compliance with all legal and regulatory requirements in connection with such use.

TI has specifically designated certain components as meeting ISO/TS16949 requirements, mainly for automotive use. In any case of use of non-designated products, TI will not be responsible for any failure to meet ISO/TS16949.

Products

Audio	www.ti.com/audio
Amplifiers	amplifier.ti.com
Data Converters	dataconverter.ti.com
DLP® Products	www.dlp.com
DSP	dsp.ti.com
Clocks and Timers	www.ti.com/clocks
Interface	interface.ti.com
Logic	logic.ti.com
Power Mgmt	power.ti.com
Microcontrollers	microcontroller.ti.com
RFID	www.ti-rfid.com
OMAP Applications Processors	www.ti.com/omap
Wireless Connectivity	www.ti.com/wirelessconnectivity

Applications

Automotive and Transportation	www.ti.com/automotive
Communications and Telecom	www.ti.com/communications
Computers and Peripherals	www.ti.com/computers
Consumer Electronics	www.ti.com/consumer-apps
Energy and Lighting	www.ti.com/energy
Industrial	www.ti.com/industrial
Medical	www.ti.com/medical
Security	www.ti.com/security
Space, Avionics and Defense	www.ti.com/space-avionics-defense
Video and Imaging	www.ti.com/video

TI E2E Community

e2e.ti.com