# Technical Article Why interoperability matters to an evolving EV charging market



**Brian Berner** 



Manufacturers of electric vehicle (EV) charging systems have two things on their mind: First, to design reliable charging systems that can operate for years to come; and second, to create a seamless, positive charging experience for consumers.

Organizations like the Charging Interface Initiative (CharIN), an industry association with over 330-member companies, are promoting interoperability standards in the field of charging systems for charging EVs of all types in many different countries. In addition to developing the Combined Charging System (CCS), which has been adopted by EV charger makers in several countries, CharIN has been steadily working on ways to standardize the North American Charging Standard (NACS) used by Tesla fast-charging stations in the U.S.

Earlier this year, I had a discussion with Erika Myers, Executive Director at CharlN North America, about her thoughts on the recent trend in NACS [North American Charging Standard] adoption by many automakers. According to Myers, "CharlN expects that the CCS standard and NACS/SAE J3400 will coexist as EV charging options for some time. Our view is that both standards have the potential to offer a seamless user experience, which is necessary to meet consumer demand for charging reliability. To achieve consistent interoperability in the charging ecosystem, industry collaboration will be vital to ensure a great user experience, all while reducing market complexity, eliminating consumer confusion, and accelerating EV adoption."

As a member of CharlN, TI continues to work with our customers to simplify EV charging connectivity and their interoperability needs as standards evolve. But the fact remains that customers have many standards they need to meet, and building the entire system can feel overwhelming to even the most capable team of engineers. Let's take a look at some of the factors impacting design decisions of EV charging manufacturers.

1



## **Connector types**



## Common types of EV charging connectors

Imagine you are driving an EV and you cannot connect to certain charging stations on a cross-country road trip. When you add range anxiety to the situation, which results from having a low charge on your EV's battery, finding a compatible charging station before becoming stranded on the side of the road can rapidly escalate from stressful to frustrating. There is a chance that the next DC fast charging station on your journey may not be compatible with your EV, or the spot with the right connector is already in use by someone else.

One proposed way to solve this challenge is the NACS connector, which uses the same connector type for both AC and DC charging, with a smaller form factor than other standardized connectors. According to Janek Metzner at Pionix, "The dominoes [for NACS adoption] are falling into place faster than expected. If SAE officially standardizes the connector, [that] will facilitate an even faster adoption rate." Pionix's Linux-based open-source EVerest platform software stack, which is compatible with our AM625 processor, enables communication between the electric vehicle supply equipment (EVSE) and the vehicle.

While it may seem like Meyers and Metzner have different opinions, they are actually consistent. CharlN and Pionix are both founded on the premise of improving interoperability during the global migration toward EVs. At TI, we focus on creating the embedded processors and analog products that help designers create the applications that enable the transition to more renewable energy sources, efficient EV charging and a more efficient, smarter grid. The connector type is largely irrelevant as long as both sides – charging station and vehicle – are physically compatible and communicate in the same way. Table 1 below breaks out the various connector types and definitions.

Connector types	Definition
SAE J1772	The electromechanical connector standard credited to the Society for Automotive Engineers (SAE) for AC electric vehicle service equipment (EVSE), commonly found in North America. Also known as a Type 1 connector.
Type 2 connector	The European equivalent to Type 1. Also known as Mennekes or IEC 62196-2.
CCS1, CCS2	The DC charging extensions of Type 1 and Type 2 connectors, with larger DC $\pm$ pins added underneath the pins used for communication and AC power.
NACS	North American Charging Standard, or the "Tesla" connector, a connector type currently being defined and standardized as SAE J3400.

#### Table 1. Connector types, acronyms and definitions



## Analog handshake

In the world of electronics, a "handshake" describes an agreement between two integrated circuits that need to work together in a system. While the handshake can occur between two ICs on the same circuit board, it is easier to comprehend when there is a cable in between two systems. Thankfully, an EV charging station and a vehicle are always connected by a relatively long cable, so you can visualize the two systems shaking hands when the cable plug is inserted into the receptacle and an electrical connection is made.

The key concepts to the handshake involved in EV charging are generating a voltage on one side and terminating it with a resistor on the other to reduce the voltage to a specific level. Because everyone uses the same voltage and the same pre-defined resistor values, this handshake always produces the same results unless a fault condition is present, and a decision is made to either continue with basic charging or move on to a more involved negotiation called high-level charging.



While basic charging is simple from a communications perspective, the circuitry required to open or close relays and detect fault conditions can be quite complex. The AC level 2 charger platform reference design is a design that can be used to start implementing many of the functional blocks in a typical EV supply equipment (EVSE) system. For many basic chargers, a small microcontroller such as MSPM0G3507 may be sufficient. However, when both the charging station and EV support high-level charging, they agree to switch to digital communication and an Arm-based processor running embedded Linux is almost always required. Table 2 highlights the various analog handshake options in a charging system.

Analog handshake	Definition
Proximity pilot (PP)	The signal used by a vehicle to determine when it is connected to a charging station (in North America). In Europe, this signal is alternatively used by the charging station to determine the current capacity of the charging cable.
Control pilot (CP)	The signal used by a charging station to determine when a vehicle is connected to it.
IEC 61581	International Electrotechnical Commission 61851, or the standard most commonly associated with simple AC charging through a wall box, which typically takes place at the home of an EV owner. Also known as basic charging.

## Table 2. Analog handshake terminology, acronyms, and definitions

3



## Digital communication - language and dialects

Simply because two people speak the same language does not mean that they will understand each other's regional dialect, accent or slang. The ISO 15118 standard is the common language of EVs and charging stations, while specific brands tend to use slang that could easily be misinterpreted easily if your charging station is not familiar with that brand of EV.

Consumer adoption of EVs is greatly impacted by the user experience, and a common language for digital communication across the EV charging infrastructure is necessary to increase the rate of adoption. In addition to working with CharlN, we collaborate with Pionix to provide its open-source EV charging software stacks to customers with the goal of resolving the most complex challenge facing the EV charging industry today: fully tested, standard-compliant digital communications compatible with nearly every EV on the market. Table 3 lists the various digital communications options tied to EV charging systems.

Digital communication	Definition
ISO 15118	International Organization for Standardization 15118, a communication protocol that enables the use of advanced features in a charging session, such as DC charging, plug and charge, and bidirectional charging. Also known as high-level charging.
DIN SPEC 70121	Deutsches Institut für Normung 70121, a specification that was the predecessor to ISO 15118, sometimes used interchangeably with ISO 15118.
PLC PHY	Programmable logic controller physical layer, a specific type of integrated circuit used for communication between EVs and charging stations that must be present on both sides for high-level charging. Also known as HomePlug GreenPHY.

## Table 3. Digital communications terminology, acronyms, and definitions

Our AM625-based EVSE development platform was created to demonstrate and support all standard-compliant digital communications and show the scalability of the AM625 processor family for any EV charging application.

## Smart, connected EV charging station development platform

Smart, connected EV charging station development platform based on AM625 with HMI

## A seamless charging experience

It is possible to resolve the issues of physical connector compatibility by providing adapters and installing stations with different plug types, although a common connector could potentially simplify the EV charging experience. The analog handshake is foundational, but it is also basic (pun intended). Creating a standardized charging infrastructure that is easy to use will require every charging station to speak the same language and dialect as any EV that is connected to it. Our goal as a semiconductor manufacturer is the same as our EV charging manufacturer customers around the world: to continue to design and develop the technology that solves the interoperability issues, and ultimately provide a seamless charging experience for all EV drivers.

## Additional resources:

4

- Check out the Smart, connected EV charging station development platform based on AM625 with HMI
- · Learn more about TI's solutions for EV charging: ti.com/evcharging

## IMPORTANT NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

TI PROVIDES TECHNICAL AND RELIABILITY DATA (INCLUDING DATA SHEETS), DESIGN RESOURCES (INCLUDING REFERENCE DESIGNS), APPLICATION OR OTHER DESIGN ADVICE, WEB TOOLS, SAFETY INFORMATION, AND OTHER RESOURCES "AS IS" AND WITH ALL FAULTS, AND DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS AND IMPLIED, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THIRD PARTY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS.

These resources are intended for skilled developers designing with TI products. You are solely responsible for (1) selecting the appropriate TI products for your application, (2) designing, validating and testing your application, and (3) ensuring your application meets applicable standards, and any other safety, security, regulatory or other requirements.

These resources are subject to change without notice. TI grants you permission to use these resources only for development of an application that uses the TI products described in the resource. Other reproduction and display of these resources is prohibited. No license is granted to any other TI intellectual property right or to any third party intellectual property right. TI disclaims responsibility for, and you will fully indemnify TI and its representatives against, any claims, damages, costs, losses, and liabilities arising out of your use of these resources.

TI's products are provided subject to TI's Terms of Sale or other applicable terms available either on ti.com or provided in conjunction with such TI products. TI's provision of these resources does not expand or otherwise alter TI's applicable warranties or warranty disclaimers for TI products.

TI objects to and rejects any additional or different terms you may have proposed.

Mailing Address: Texas Instruments, Post Office Box 655303, Dallas, Texas 75265 Copyright © 2024, Texas Instruments Incorporated