Exploring Thread and Zigbee for home and building automation
In our connected world, smart homes and buildings are an important part of our daily lives. Wireless connectivity technologies, like Thread and Zigbee, make home and building automation possible.

At a glance
Advances in wireless communication protocols and automation frameworks have made connected, integrated homes and commercial buildings a reality. This paper will highlight the advantages of wireless home and commercial building automation mesh technologies Zigbee and Thread.

Introduction
In today's connected world, wireless communication protocols and automation frameworks have made smart homes and commercial buildings commonplace. This paper will go over the relative advantages of the available wireless home and building automation mesh technologies.

Design considerations
Home and commercial building automation products and ecosystems have very different requirements to meet customer needs. For example, a homeowner may accept occasional instability of a product, a commercial building operator could require a support contract with on-site support. Where a building operator may be willing to build a custom aggregator service, this is out of reach for even a dedicated hobbyist homeowner.

The similarities between home and commercial building automation customers should not be overlooked. Both need to operate within the regulatory restrictions of their geographic regions. Traffic profiles and network topologies will be of concern to enable all nodes are reachable. And protocol-level interoperability is essential. These customers will be interested in existing technologies such as Zigbee®, Thread®, Wi-Fi® and Bluetooth® Low Energy.

1 Design considerations and wireless protocols for connected homes and buildings
Home and commercial building automation products and ecosystems have very different requirements to meet customer needs.

2 Exploring the differences and similarities of Zigbee® and Thread
Zigbee and Thread technologies provide a built-in mesh networking security and application infrastructure for embedded, low-power and low-cost devices.

3 Project CHIP
The Project Connected Home over IP (Project CHIP) is a working group within the Zigbee Alliance tasked to develop a royalty-free connectivity standard for home and building automation.
Wireless protocols

Wireless networking technologies offer a number of advantages over wired networking technologies when an existing infrastructure does not exist.

Table 1 compares the different wireless technologies for home and building automation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zigbee</th>
<th>Thread</th>
<th>Bluetooth Low Energy</th>
<th>Wi-Fi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Band</strong></td>
<td>2.4-GHz industrial-scientific-medical (ISM)</td>
<td>2.4-GHz ISM</td>
<td>2.4-GHz ISM</td>
<td>2.4-GHz/5-GHz ISM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Throughput</strong></td>
<td>250 kbps</td>
<td>250 kbps</td>
<td>As high as 2 Mbps</td>
<td>As high as hundreds of Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>One-hop range</strong></td>
<td>As high as a few hundreds of meters; routers can extend range through multihop</td>
<td>As high as a few hundreds of meters; routers can extend range through multihop</td>
<td>Can go as high as a few hundreds of meters, with long-range mode in Bluetooth 5</td>
<td>Tens of meters; extendable using multiple access points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Battery type and life</strong></td>
<td>Years on a coin cell</td>
<td>Years on a coin cell</td>
<td>Years on a coin cell</td>
<td>AAA/AA for years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topology</strong></td>
<td>Mesh</td>
<td>Mesh</td>
<td>Point-to-point, mesh</td>
<td>Star; some mesh being standardized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic profile</strong></td>
<td>Local device-to-device, many to one and one to many</td>
<td>Device-to-device and device-to-cloud</td>
<td>Best for device-to-smartphones</td>
<td>Device-to-cloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protocol layering</strong></td>
<td>Network and application</td>
<td>Network with Internet Protocol (IP) standards on top</td>
<td>Network and application</td>
<td>Network with IP standards on top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Certification program and interoperability</strong></td>
<td>End product certification</td>
<td>Stack certification</td>
<td>Stack certification</td>
<td>Data-link layer and some upper-layer stack certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Security</strong></td>
<td>Networkwide encryption and authentication through installation codes</td>
<td>Password-based authentication with Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS)</td>
<td>Asymmetric encryption for key generation and exchange, connection pairwise keys</td>
<td>Password and certificate-based authentication, supports all IP-based security standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IP connectivity and support</strong></td>
<td>Requires gateway to perform IP address translation</td>
<td>Native IPv6 networking</td>
<td>Requires gateway to perform IP address translation</td>
<td>Native</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Comparison of Wireless Technologies for Home and Building Automation

Zigbee

The Zigbee protocol is a wireless personal area mesh networking protocol based on Institute for Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) 802.15.4 and maintained by the Zigbee Alliance, which ensures continuity between versions of the Zigbee Pro specification and certifies devices.

A Zigbee network begins with an initial coordinator device that acts as the arbiter for decisions of the network. Routers or end devices can be brought in after the formation of the network to handle forwarding and routing of packets within the mesh. End devices attach to the initial coordinator or to routers, and do not route for the Zigbee network. It is possible to configure the end devices to sleep for long periods of time to preserve battery power.

The Texas Instruments (TI) SimpleLink™ CC13x2 and CC26x2 software development kit (SDK) has a certified implementation of the Zigbee Protocol called Z-Stack™ software.
Thread

The Thread protocol is a wireless personal area mesh networking protocol based on IEEE 802.15.4, IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Networks (6LoWPAN) and several Internet Engineering Task Force standards. Thread is maintained by the Thread Group, which ensures continuity between versions of the Thread protocol specification and certifies device interoperability.

A Thread network begins when a router-eligible device turns on but does not find an existing network. This device may then become the leader of a new Thread network, to which other devices may connect through a standard commissioning process as end devices. If an end device is router-eligible, it may be promoted to router within the Thread network as topology changes require.

Any router within the Thread network must be capable of being the leader. Routers within the Thread network participate in the forwarding and routing of network messages and are elected from the pool of router eligible end devices already in the network. End devices connect to routers and do not route for the Thread network. These end devices may operate as sleepy devices to save battery power.

Similarities Between Zigbee and Thread

Zigbee and Thread technologies are standard-based protocols that primarily operate in the worldwide 2.4-GHz ISM band. These technologies provide a built-in mesh networking security and application infrastructure for embedded, low-power and low-cost devices.

The Zigbee Alliance and Thread Group both have a process for member companies to enact changes to the specification.

Both protocols leverage a common underlying data-link communication layer designed and maintained by the IEEE.

Figure 1 shows Zigbee and Thread protocol layering.
Since higher, less timing-sensitive protocol layers are implemented in software, it’s possible to implement 802.15.4-based standards like Zigbee and Thread as different software variants that run on the same silicon (as is the case for the TI SimpleLink multistandard CC2652R wireless microcontroller [MCU]). With a single unique hardware design, the corresponding firmware can be loaded at the factory or upgraded in the field, providing a simplified and futureproof solution.

Both Zigbee and Thread implement an asynchronous mode of operation within the IEEE 802.15.4 standard. This transmitter-initiated profile enables the efficient exchange of small packets in a low-power wireless network. Devices that do not generate data often can wake up and reliably send packets with extremely short latency.

Regardless of the data’s destination in the network (one or multiple hops away), the battery-powered devices wake up from sleep, send the data to their one-hop relay node, and then quickly go back into a standby state. Between instances when the device is active and sending or receiving data, the radio can be off and operating in the realm of microamperes. For instance, the CC2652R device can sleep while retaining full random-access memory contents and consume only 0.9 µA.

Both Zigbee and Thread use a distance vector algorithm to build routing tables between routers. Zigbee uses ad-hoc on-demand distance vector routing, and Thread uses a modified Routing Information Protocol. Having the routers of each network generate and store the routing information rather than the end devices minimizes network maintenance traffic to the end nodes, conserving radio time.

This efficiency is significantly advantageous for devices that typically generate data triggered by sporadic alarm events (such as door and window sensors) or user actions (such as switches/key fobs, alarm panels or shade systems). The battery-powered devices can sleep most of the time, only waking up for the occasional application-initiated data or periodic data poll messages.

The periodic data polls are needed for unsolicited down-link messages and to maintain connection with the end device’s parent router.

With peak current levels around the single-digit microamperes, Zigbee and Thread enable devices in the home and building automation space to operate for years off of coin-cell batteries. Header compression and reuse make communication in both Zigbee and Thread efficient by creating smaller over-the-air packets. Thread leverages 6LoWPAN compression, fragmentation and link-layer forwarding. Zigbee was designed from the ground up, with binary-data optimization in the networking protocol for the underlying 802.15.4 frames.

The headers and networking management operations necessary to maintain and establish routes are short and reliably enable a 20-byte application frame (for a lighting control command or an alarm event) in a single 802.15.4 packet instance of 50 to 80 bytes, with a turnaround time of a few tens of milliseconds per hop. In most systems, with four to five hops as the mesh branch’s biggest length, this speed still provides less than 100 ms of latency for actuating device-to-device communication.

Low-power operation and network scalability are both important requirements in residential systems with tens of nodes interoperating, such as lights, environmental sensors and thermostats. But these factors are an even bigger priority in commercial and industrial building automation systems, where the number of devices may reach hundreds or even thousands of nodes.

Both the Zigbee and Thread protocols implement an efficient routing algorithm to minimize over-the-air traffic and broadcasts. The receiver in these nodes is always on (they are usually mains-powered, like a light bulb/fixture or a thermostat) and store next hops to the final destination by building a small and lean routing table. The networks don’t relay packets by flooding the network through broadcasts, which ultimately can hinder scalability.
The routing nodes exchange only small intermittent broadcast messages, minimizing overall housekeeping traffic to maintain the mesh. Routing nodes in the network also have the important role of buffering the data for the downlink communication of their sleeping “children,” which can be configured to extract packets efficiently depending on the downlink requirements (which in many cases are latency-insensitive).

Both Zigbee and Thread technologies have been demonstrated successfully in large commercial deployments that reach hundreds of nodes within the same network. TI has deployed *Breaking the 400-Node ZigBee Network Barrier TI’s ZigBee SoC & Z-Stack Software*, and the technology can enable even larger networks depending on node density, amount of traffic generated and application profile.

**Differences Between Zigbee and Thread**

While Zigbee and Thread both look similar, there are slight differences in how each protocol establishes and maintains the network.

Zigbee supports a centralized and distributed (touchlink) coordination scheme. In the centralized approach, Zigbee uses a statically allocated coordinator within the network to manage operations. In contrast, a leader device, elected from one of the network’s routers, handles networkwide decisions in the Thread network.

Devices statically configured as routers handle the forwarding and routing of messages within a Zigbee network. Thread elects devices that route within its network from an existing pool of router-eligible devices.

Zigbee offers many ways to add new devices onto the network. Thread adds new devices with a standardized commissioning protocol, which requires human intervention to complete.

Zigbee enables defined and administered networks, which tends to entice corporate entities and homeowner hobbyists. Thread enables a self-forming and self-healing mesh network geared toward an autonomously administered ecosystem of devices.

The differences become more pronounced as you move up the networking stack. Zigbee defines an application framework for device-to-device communication. Application interactions between devices are defined and certified with the Zigbee cluster library. Thread offers its application layer protocols as an option to be reused by the business logic of the end product, but does not mandate their usage. This could be the User Datagram Protocol for efficient transmission of messages and the Constrained Application Protocol for reliable interactions. However, a Thread application may use Transmission Control Protocol, Hypertext Transfer Protocol, Message Queuing Telemetry Transport or any other protocol to transport messages.

Zigbee offers both reliable network operation and application interactions. Thread only ensures reliable network operation, but offers the ability to define the application protocol best suited for the device requirements.

Interoperability does not end at the boundaries of the mesh. Thread offers native IP routing and a natural device-to-cloud connection. Zigbee requires a specialized hub and translation to interoperate with cloud services.

More than 100 million products have included the Zigbee Pro networking layer, alongside the Zigbee cluster library, with several revisions of the standards for both the core mesh networking functionality and application layers. The Thread protocol is a relatively newer offering and does not have the same market penetration. Since both technologies use the same radio MAC/PHY protocol, it is easy to pivot between both with the SimpleLink wireless MCU.
Table 2 lists the differences between the two core mesh networking standards and the implications for technology adopters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functionality</th>
<th>Zigbee</th>
<th>Thread</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authentication at joining</td>
<td>Centralized through the trust center with optional out-of-band device-based installation code, or distributed with proximity pairing</td>
<td>Smartphone-based, with device-specific quick response (QR) code scanning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)-128 network-level, with a key transported from joiner to joining device</td>
<td>AES-128 MAC level derived from an elliptic curve cryptography-based password juggling scheme and DTLS session establishment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device bootstrapping and commissioning</td>
<td>Button-press easy mode or proximity-based (touchlink)</td>
<td>Smartphone-based, with device-specific QR code scanning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network and mesh management</td>
<td>Centralized coordinator; may be distributed in touchlink network</td>
<td>Dynamic leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-healing</td>
<td>Native router and mesh self-healing</td>
<td>Routers and leader self-election and self-healing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud integration</td>
<td>Zigbee gateway with a purpose built translation</td>
<td>Thread border router with native IPv6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power performance for application packets</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency performance for application packets</td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP native integration</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard longevity</td>
<td>First revision in 2005</td>
<td>First revision in 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry participation</td>
<td>Approximately 400 member companies</td>
<td>Approximately 270 member companies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Zigbee and Thread Comparison

Project CHIP

A number of home and building automation ecosystem vendors are coming together within the Zigbee Alliance to produce a new application framework. The Project Connected Home over IP (Project CHIP) is a working group within the Zigbee Alliance tasked to develop a royalty-free connectivity standard for home and building automation. This standard will have an emphasis on security and be based on market-leading IP standards-based automation technologies. This working group plans to deliver an open-source reference connectivity framework across Wi-Fi and Thread.

Project CHIP will standardize device rendezvous and provisioning through a Bluetooth Low Energy and Wi-Fi based protocol. The standard Zigbee Alliance CHIP phone application will bring devices onto the user’s Wi-Fi or Thread network. Once connected to the local IP network, the device will use standard service discovery to find other CHIP nodes locally. Application level interactions are standardized and certified to ensure interoperability between product vendors. And, if full internet connectivity is available, the device will be able to interact with the CHIP certified cloud aggregator services.

A CHIP certified product can rely on the existence of all these features within the ecosystem. This has the benefit of shortening development time for end products. While also leaving open the possibility of extending functionality with manufacturer specific features.
**Conclusion**

Both the Zigbee and Thread protocols take advantage of asynchronous non-beacon mode IEEE 802.15.4. Both protocols have roughly the same traffic and power profile between mesh nodes. When viewed as a holistic protocol, however, some important differences appear. Zigbee’s cluster library has been refined over the years to properly define application operation in the most efficient way possible. Thread relies on IP-based protocols, which have been defined to be flexible and readable. This flexibility allows for extensibility, but at the cost of over-the-air time.

The flexibility of Thread is a result of its basis in IP. The emphasis on network-level interoperability enables the addressing of Thread devices by other IPv6-enabled devices through border routers. This means that a computer on the local network can talk to a Thread device in the same way that a cloud server can talk to a Thread device. This level of adjacent network connectivity is not immediately available in Zigbee networks.

Finally, one of the most important questions to ask about a protocol is what the ecosystem around the device looks like. Zigbee offers a very well-defined local ecosystem of automation products, with years of application interoperability. Thread enables communication frameworks that have been industry-tested for decades on IP-bearing networks.

Designers can use the **CC26x2r wireless MCU LaunchPad™ development kit** to evaluate both of these connectivity technologies.
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